

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/772,476	02/05/2004	Michael K. Brown	13210-140	4975		
	7590 10/28/200 ND PARR LLP/S.E.N.	EXAM	EXAMINER			
40 KING STREET WEST BOX 401 TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2 CANADA			LAI, MIC	LAI, MICHAEL C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2457			
			NATI DATE	Des Report Cons		
			MAIL DATE 10/28/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/772,476	BROWN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MICHAEL C. LAI	2457	

	MICHAEL C. LAI	2457						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THI	THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (2) a Notice of Application (3) and (4) application (4) appli	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.131; (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection							
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is 	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In oevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Which is a considerable check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	s of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: none.								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected: 1.7-14.23.29-36.45 and 46. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
AN/EQ DALENIQUIDT/								
	/YVES DALENCOURT. Primary Examiner, Art U							

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner would like to remind that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the references to produce the caimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. Kasal teaches his invention in five embodiments, each embodiment has its over of drawings and implementations, ranging from the homepage translation system to the mail translation system. Although the Examiner based many of the obviousness references upon a single reference, namely Kasal, the Examiner also based many of the obviousness references upon multiple embodiments (mainly the first and the fourth embodiments) of the reference, not just a single embodiment. In this case, it is known to a person skilled in the art that emails can be sent in several formats including HTML. And because of the high versatility of HTML a person skilled in the art would certainly consider this language to solve the problem of translation of emails using different formats in Kasal's fourth embodiment. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the unknown was made to convert from a text format into HTML before sending the message to the processing server as a choice of options, thereby solving the problem of translation of emails using different formats.

Furthermore, Applicant argues in page 3 that it would now be possible for mobile computer devices to utilize publicly available HTML translation servers. However, claim 1 does not show the processing server actually processes or translates the HTML message. The examiner maintains his final relection.